

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/049,986	07/01/2002		Seishi Nagamori	56972 (71526)	2684
21874	7590	03/22/2005		EXAMINER	
EDWARD		SELL, LLP	BROWN, TIMOTHY M		
P.O. BOX 5 BOSTON, 1		05	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				1648	

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/049,986	NAGAMORI, SEISHI					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Timothy M. Brown	1648					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Fe	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 February 2002 and 01 July 2002.						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.						
	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.	4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.	·	~					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[ $\times$ ] Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	8) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	;						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	•					

Application/Control Number: 10/049,986

Art Unit: 1648

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- I. Group I, claims 1-5 and 15-19, drawn to a method of proliferating a hepatitis virus using roller bottle culture wherein culture medium flows around the periphery of a culture vessel.
- II. Group II, claims 6, 7-12 and 20, drawn to a method of proliferating a hepatitis virus using a roller bottle culture apparatus wherein host cells adhere to a particulate porous carrier toward the center of a culture vessel.
- III. Group III, claims 13 and 14, drawn to a roller bottle culture apparatus having a particulate porous carrier on the inside of a culture vessel.

The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features. This results because Invention I lacks novelty and therefore fails to provide a special technical feature that is common among the inventions. Invention I lacks novelty because it is anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 4,783,407 to Provost et al.; Provost et al. disclose a method for culturing hepatits A virus using a roller bottle culture apparatus (see e.g. col. 4, lines

Application/Control Number: 10/049,986

Art Unit: 1648

11-34). Because Inventions I-III lack a common special technical feature, restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). Here, the specification does not disclose using the methods of Inventions I and II together. That is, the specification does not indicate that a method for proliferating HCV wherein medium flows around the periphery of a roller bottle, can be combined with a similar method wherein the medium flow towards the center of the roller bottle. This distinction also provides the inventions with different modes of operation in that host cells are cultured using a different portion of the culture vessel.

Inventions I and III are related are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product of Invention III can be used in a materially different process because it can be used to propagate cells and microorganisms other than hepatitis virus.

Inventions II and III are unrelated for the same reason that Inventions I and II are unrelated. That is, the method of Invention II has a different mode of operation in that the particulate porous carrier is located toward the center of the culture vessel.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete *must include an election* of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/049,986

Art Unit: 1648

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier.

Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Art Unit: 1648

## **CONCLUSION**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy M. Brown whose telephone number is (571) 272-0773.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy M. Brown can be reached on (571) 272-0773. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Timothy M. Brown

Examiner

Art Unit 1648

tmb